

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/972,462	DELAIRE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	KAMAL B. DIVECHA	2151	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) KAMAL B. DIVECHA. (3) _____.
- (2) JANAKI K. DAVDA. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 18 May 2005.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: _____.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Agreement was not reached. A proposed claim amendments to claim 1 was discussed, the objections made with respect to drawings was also discussed, applicant further clarified the objected matter and examiner agreed to withdraw the objection.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.



Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/972,462	DELAIRE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	KAMAL B. DIVECHA	2151	

All Participants:

(1) KAMAL B. DIVECHA.

(2) Janaki K. Davda.

Status of Application: In condition for Allowance.

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 23 June 2005

Time: 2 pm

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

35 USC 101 with respect to claim 25.

Claims discussed:

1, 3, 8, 20-25.

Prior art documents discussed:

none


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

1. 35 USC 101 rejection with respect to claim 25 was discussed.
2. 35 USC 112, 2nd paragraph rejection with respect to claim 8 was also discussed.
3. Proposed claims amendmend to the claims were discussed and no agreement was reached.